# Zirconium Dodecarborides $\mathbf{Z r B}_{12}$. Confirmation of the $\mathbf{B}_{12}$ Cubooctahedral Unit 
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Using powder neutron diffraction and Rietveld's method of profile refinement, the structure of $\mathrm{ZrB}_{12}$ was confirmed.

On the basis of steric considerations, Bertaut and Blum (I) proposed that the $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ unit in $\mathrm{UB}_{12}$ was in a cubeoctahedron. Post and Glaser (2) found that the X-ray powder pattern of $\mathrm{ZrB}_{12}$ was isomorphous with $\mathrm{UB}_{12}$. Matkovich et al. (3) prepared a single Fm3m crystal of $\mathrm{YB}_{12}$ and observed 37 reflections ( $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha \mathrm{X}$ radiation, no absorption correction applied). Using phase angles from the yttrium atoms, a subsequent electron density synthesis confirmed the cubooctahedral arrangement of boron atoms. Least-squares refinement lowered the $R$ to $0.05(7) ; 0.061$ [isotropic temperature factors, $\mathrm{Y}=0.56(7) ; \mathrm{B}=1.4(3) \AA^{2} \mathrm{~J}$.
A survey of $M \mathrm{~B}_{12}$ compounds by Matkovich et al. (4) indicated (i) a cubeoctahedron for $\mathrm{B}_{12}$, where $M$ was a large atomic number element (space group $F m 3 m$ ), and (ii) an icosahedron, where $M$ was a low-atomic number element (space group $R \overline{3} m$ ).
These two lattices are related, with the body diagonal of the face-centered cube the
equivalent of the $c$ axis of the hexagonal cell. In both cases the $M$ atom (at the faces of the cube or at the corners of the rhombohedral cell) are in equivalent positions. The difference depends on the $\mathrm{B}_{12}$ unit at the center of both unit cells (Fig. 1). Table I shows the relationship for powder patterns between the two cells for the same $d$ spacings.
$\mathrm{ZrB}_{12}$ (Z-1032, lot number 2041, from Cerac/Pure Inc, Menomonie Falls, Wisc.; 200 mesh, $99 \%$ pure, $\mathrm{Zr}, 40.80$; B, 58.21 ; C, $0.36 ; \mathrm{Fe}, 0.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 0.002$; N, $0.12, \mathrm{O}, 0.14 \%$, X-ray pattern, 3655 matches $\mathrm{ZrB}_{12}$ PDS 6 590 , with a trace of $\mathrm{ZrB}_{12}$ present) in a cadmium boat (internal dimensions, 100 mm high, 60 mm wide, 3 mm deep) was covered by aluminium foil and cemented with Araldite.
In $F m 3 m$, one atom at a point symmetry $m m$ will generate about $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$, etc., a cubeoctahedron, while for $R \overline{3} m$, two atoms at point symmetry $m$ will generate about $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$, etc., an icosahedron. Using

TABLE I
Relationship between Two Cells

| $F m 3 m$ cell |  |  |  | $\stackrel{d}{\dot{\AA})}$ | $R \overline{3} m$ cell |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h$ | $k$ | $l$ | Multiplicity |  | $h$ | $k$ | $l$ | Multiplicity |
| -1 | -1 | 1 | 8 | 4.28 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| -2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3.70 | -1 | 1 | -2 | 6 |
| -2 | -2 | 0 | 12 | 2.62 | -1 | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| -3 | -1 | 1 | 24 | 2.23 | -1 | 1 | -5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -2 | 1 | 3 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -2 | 2 | -1 | 6 |
| -2 | -2 | -2 | 8 | 2.14 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| -4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.85 | -2 | 2 | -4 | 6 |
| -3 | -3 | -1 | 24 | 1.70 | -1 | 1 | 7 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -2 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | 2 | 1 | 12 |
| -4 | -2 | 0 | 24 | 1.66 | -2 | 1 | 6 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | 2 | -2 | 12 |
| -4 | -2 | 2 | 24 | 1.51 | -1 | 1 | -8 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | 2 | 4 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | 3 | 0 | 6 |
| -5 | $-1$ | 1 | 24 | 1.43 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -2 | 2 | -7 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | 3 | -3 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| -4 | -4 | 0 | 12 | 1.31 | -2 | 2 | 8 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| -5 | -3 | -1 | 48 | 1.25 | -2 | 1 | -9 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | 2 | 7 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 2 | -3 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 3 | -1 | 12 |
| -6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.23 | -1 | 1 | 10 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| -4 | -4 | -2 | 24 |  | -3 | 3 | -6 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 3 | 2 | 12 |
| -6 | $-2$ | 0 | 24 | 1.17 | -3 | 2 | -8 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 3 | -4 | 12 |
| -5 | -3 | -3 | 24 | 1.13 | -1 | 1 | -11 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 3 | 5 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| -6 | -2 | -2 | 24 | 1.12 | -2 | 2 | $-10$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 2 | 6 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 4 | -2 | 6 |
| -4 | -4 | -4 | 8 | 1.07 | 0 | 0 | $-12$ | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & -5 \\ & -7 \end{aligned}$ | -5 | 1 | 24 | 1.04 | -2 | 2 | 11 | 6 |
|  | -1 | -1 | 24 |  | -3 | 3 | 9 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -3 | 3 | -9 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 3 | -7 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -4 | 4 | -5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -5 | 3 | 1 | 12 |
| -6 | -4 | 0 | 24 | 1.03 | -3 | 2 | 10 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | -5 | 3 | -2 | 12 |

neutron powder diffraction, the difference will depend on multiplicity and structure factor calculations (see Fig. 2 and Table II).

The highly absorbing sample was put in the reflecting position in the stationary


Fig. 1. Relationship between $F m 3 m$ and $R \overline{3} m . M$ is either at the corners of a face-centered table (solid line) or a rhombohedron (dashed line).
powder mode on a neutron diffractometer ( $\lambda=1.086 \AA$ ) attached to hole 4 H 1 on the Australian Atomic Energy Commission's HIFAR (DIDO type) $10-\mathrm{MW}$ reactor. A blank without $\mathrm{ZrB}_{12}$ gave only aluminium lines (A1, Fm3m, $a=4.050 \AA$ ) and no cadmium ones (Cd, P63/mmc, $a=2.979, c=$ $5.618 \AA$ ).
The data were refined (5) to give in the cubic case $R=0.028,[0.047] ; n, 16,[22] ; a$,

TABLE II
Powder Data Based on Cubic Cell

| $h$ | $k$ | $l$ | Half-width | $2 \theta$ | $I_{\text {calc }}$ | $I_{\text {obs }}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 14.628 | 2,380 | 2,384 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.473 | 16.906 | 519 | 531 |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 0.462 | 23.998 | 2,477 | 2,634 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 | 0.492 | 28.219 | 16,068 | 15,568 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 0.506 | 29.501 | 6,707 | 7,225 |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.575 | 34.196 | 626 | 569 |
| 1 | 3 | 3 | 0.635 | 37.372 | 519 | 523 |
| 0 | 2 | 4 | 0.655 | 38.381 | 1,761 | 1,496 |
| 2 | 2 | 4 | 0.740 | 42.210 | 7,531 | 7,670 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 0.805 | 44.906 | 16,302 | 16,460 |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | 0.805 | 44.906 | 1,974 | 1,993 |
| 0 | 4 | 4 | 0.916 | 49.137 | 431 | 458 |
| 1 | 3 | 5 | 0.983 | 51.550 | 11,478 | 11,274 |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 1.005 | 52.336 | 3,723 | 3,789 |
| 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.005 | 52.336 | 13,363 | 13,599 |
| 0 | 2 | 6 | 1.095 | 55.402 | 94 | 100 |
| Derived Bragg $R$ factor |  |  |  |  | $=2.75$ |  |



Fig. 2. $I_{\text {calc }}$ (above) and $\left|I_{\text {obs }}\right|-\left|I_{\text {calc }}\right|$ (below) neutron diffraction spectrum for $\mathrm{ZrB}_{12}(*) \mathrm{Al}$ powder lines; 111, 200, 220, 311, respectively.
7.388(3), [7.408(2)] Å, $\mathrm{Zr} \mathrm{B}, \quad 1.6(3)$, Glaser X-ray powder data (2) refined by $[-0.04(10)] \AA^{2} ; \mathrm{B} y, 0.1710(6),[0.1699(18)] \quad$ Matkovich et al. (4). The model for the hex$\AA ; B B,-0.075(5),[0.53(62)] \AA^{2}$, with the square brackets referring to the Post and agonal case, as calculated in the Appendix, did not refine ( $R=0.112$ ).

## Appendix

## Calculation of B Parameters

$V_{0}-V_{1}=l$
(side of icosahedron),
$V_{0}-V_{2}=1.6181$,
$V_{0}-V_{3}=1.902 l, \quad$ (diagonal)
Hexagonal cell, $a=5.238 \AA$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1}=\frac{l}{3 a} & =\frac{l}{3 \times 5.238} & x_{2} & =\frac{1.618 l}{3 \times 5.238} \\
& =0.0636 l ; & & =0.1030 l
\end{aligned}
$$

$x, 2 x, z ;-x,-2 x,-z$
(inversion)

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1.902 l)^{2} & =(x+x)^{2}+(2 x+2 x)^{2} a^{2}+(z+z) c^{2}+2 a^{2}(x+x)(2 x+2 x) \cos (\gamma) \\
& =4 x^{2} a^{2}+16 x^{2} a^{2}+4 z^{2} c^{2}-8 x^{2} a^{2} \\
& =12 x^{2} a^{2}+4 z^{2} c^{2} \\
z^{2} & =\frac{(1.902 l)^{2}-12 x^{2} a^{2}}{4 c^{2}} ; \quad c=12.831 \AA
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { if } \begin{aligned}
l & =1.8 & \\
x_{1} & =0.1145 & x_{2}=0.1854 \\
z_{1} & =0.1060 & z_{2}=-0.0248
\end{aligned}
$$
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